Food Act 2008 Verification of Food Safety Program Guideline

TO ASSIST WITH THE ASSESSMENT OF FOOD BUSINESS COMPLIANCE WITH STANDARD 3.2.1 - FOOD SAFETY PROGRAMS

Note this guideline does not provide an exhaustive list: an assessment must be made on whether a Food Safety Program (FSP) is reasonably likely to meet the outcomes of Standard 3.2.1. This tool has been developed with reference to 'Food Safety Programs – A Guide to Standard 3.2.1' Food Standards Australia New Zealand (2007).

Food Business Details				
Trading Name			Nature of	
			Business	
Proprietor Name				
Address				
Contact Details	Phone	Email		
Assessor Details				
Name				
Role				
Organisation				
Contact Details	Phone	Email		
Assessment Declaration				
I am of the opinion that the FSP, submitted by the above mentioned food business and as assessed on				
(date):				
☐ Substantially complies with the requirements of Standard 3.2.1 for the above mentioned food business				
☐ Does not comply with many of the requirements of Standard 3.2.1				
Attached and the details of the appropriate The information state I have be the formation and the state I have been stated as a second state of the				
Attached are the details of the assessment. The information stated by me in this form is true, correct and reflect				
the activities of the business at the time of assessment.				
Note: As a FSP is a 'living' document, this assessment does not provide an 'approval' of the FSP for this				
business. Should the business activities or processes change, the FSP will need to be reassessed in order to				
verify it stills meets the requirements of the <i>Food Act 2008</i> and Standard 3.2.1.				
-	the requirements of the <i>Food I</i>			
Signature of		Signat .		
assessor		propri	etor	
Date		Date		
I .		1		

FSP Content Assessment Item: Compliance Details (Y/N/Partial/NA): Y = evidence of compliance N = no evidence of compliancePartial = the food business has some elements towards compliance N/A = not applicable to this food business Provide details/comments to substantiate evidence **Hazard Identification** Standard 3.2.1 clause 5(a) Does the FSP systematically identify the potential hazards that may reasonably be expected to occur in all food handling operations of the food business? What to look for: Evidence that all food handling operations in the business have been identified and documented **Guidance Information** To 'systematically examine' food handling Food handling operations can include Standard 3.1.1 defines 'hazard' as: 'a 'Reasonably expected to occur' means the

occur do not need to be considered.

Hazard Control

Standard 3.2.1 clause 5(b)

Does the FSP identify where, in a food handling operation, each hazard identified under paragraph (a) can be controlled and the means of control?

What to look for:

Evidence that each identified food safety hazard is able to be effectively controlled

Guidance Information

- Food business must ensure the FSP includes how each hazard identified during the hazard identification is to be controlled and where it is to be controlled.
- Hazards can be controlled by support programs or at the specific food handling step
- Hazards that are common across food handling steps are normally controlled within support programs.

Monitoring

Standard 3.2.1 clause 5(c)

Does the program provide for the systematic monitoring of those controls?

What to look for:

Evidence that the FSP provides for this monitoring (including who, where, when and how monitoring procedures are implemented)

Guidance Information

- Examples of monitoring processes are:
 - Inspecting
 - Measuring
 - Checking
 - Observing
- Monitoring must be able to be verified by way
 of an appropriate recording system (i.e. record
 form, diary that enables written evidence to be
 collected that demonstrates that an observation
 was made and met/didn't meet expectations)
- Monitoring procedures should link with corrective actions

Corrective Actions

Standard 3.2.1 clause 5(d)

Does the program provide for appropriate corrective action when that hazard, or each of those hazards, is found not to be under control?

Guidance Information

- If monitoring finds that the control step in place to manage a hazard is either not working or is not being followed, corrective action must be
- Generally consists of two stages immediate action and investigation into the cause of the 'loss of control'.
- Following an incident, an appropriate corrective action is for the review of the FSP.
- Corrective Action should activate recall plan (i.e. recall decision making framework)

Review

Standard 3.2.1 clause 5(e)

Does the program provide for the regular review of the program by the food business to ensure its adequacy?

What to look for:

Evidence detailing procedures for verification and validation to ensure FSP

adequacy/relevancy/appropriateness.

Guidance Information

- Validation is the action taken by the food business to confirm that the control measures are effective in controlling the hazards (i.e. they prevent, eliminate or reduce a food safety hazard to an acceptable level).
- Verification is the action taken by the food business to confirm that the practices and procedures in the food safety program are happening.
- A food business should undertake a full review of its entire FSP at least annually and should specify in the FSP the date for this review

Records

Standard 3.2.1 clause 5(f)

Does the program provide for appropriate records to be made and kept by the food business demonstrating action taken in relation to, or in compliance with, the food safety program?

Guidance Information

- At a minimum, records will need to be kept for:
 - Monitoring actions
 - Corrective actions
 - The review of the program (specifically when the review took place and the outcome)
- Records must be appropriate, legible and indicate:
 - What the record relates to
 - Who made the record
 - The date and where relevant, the time
 - The result of what is being recorded
 - Any corrective action taken as a result of recording.